

1534 Clay Street – Unit 1  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
john@johnkarls.com  
June 15, 2011

>>>>>

( Please send questions  
( or comments by e-mail  
( since itinerary is fluid

The Honorable Barack H. Obama  
President of the United States  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20500

(This is a copy of a letter sent to each of 21 governmental officials.)

Dear Mr. President:

**Re: \$84 Billion Legally Pledged For Education of Californian Inner-City  
Children Rapidly Slipping Away Due To Judicial Improprieties –  
Amicus Briefs Under US Supreme Court Rule 37(2) Needed Urgently!!!**

You may not recall the attached letter of May 18, 2010, which was sent to you and 20 of your colleagues. It requested your assistance in obtaining *Amicus Curiae* (“Friend of the Court”) Briefs in *Karls v. The Bank of New York, et al.*, for which a Petition for Certiorari would be filed by June 14, 2011. The Petition was filed and its “Question Presented For Review” was:

“Can state court judges order their decisions which they know are diametrically-opposed to well-settled law, not to be published or cited (a strategy labeled “the segregated toilet” in correspondence with 51-inner city clergy who represent the 10 million inner-city children who have been disclosed from the outset as the “real parties at interest” in this law suit) in order to flush away the rights of the 10 million inner-city children without disturbing the rights of first-class American citizens – without violating the “Equal Protection of the Law” requirement of the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution?”

[The entire petition is quite long – 42 pages plus 61 pages of appendices. Accordingly, it can be down-loaded, as usual, by going to [www.ReadingLiberally-SaltLake.org](http://www.ReadingLiberally-SaltLake.org) where, if you scroll down to the third section labeled “Possible Topic for Fall 2011,” you can find it as the first item in that section.]

You are again urged **to represent the interests of your constituents** by joining with your colleagues in ensuring that *Amicus Curiae* briefs are filed at least by:

- The U.S. Justice Department
- The State of California
- Each of the Cities of Los Angeles, San Francisco and Oakland CA

U.S. Supreme Court *Amicus Curiae* Briefs, to be effective, must be filed before the Supreme Court decides whether to grant the Petition for Certiorari (accept the appeal). Per U.S. Supreme Court Rule 37(2), an *Amicus* Brief filed before consideration of the Petition for Certiorari must be accompanied by a Motion For Leave To File if consent is not obtained from Defense Counsel - Peter Obstler, Esq., Bingham McCutchen LLP, Three Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111 (Direct = 415-393-2578).

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

John S. Karls  
JD, Harvard Law School, 1967  
Who’s Who in American Law, 1988-2003  
Who’s Who in America, 1988-2003  
Who’s Who in the World, 1994-2003

cc: 51 Inner-City Clergy From Los Angeles, San Francisco and Oakland CA  
43 News-Media Superstars  
U.S. Senator Christopher A. Coons  
Mr. David M. Axelrod – Certified Return Receipt  
Mr. David Plouffe – Certified Return Receipt

1534 Clay Street – Unit 1  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
[john@johnkarls.com](mailto:john@johnkarls.com)  
May 18, 2011

>>>>>

( Please send questions  
( or comments by e-mail  
( since itinerary is fluid

The Honorable Barack H. Obama  
President of the United States  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20500

(This is a copy of a letter sent to each of 21 governmental officials.)

Dear Mr. President:

**Re: \$84 Billion Legally Pledged For Education of Californian Inner-City  
Children Rapidly Slipping Away Due To Judicial Improprieties –  
Amicus Briefs Under US Supreme Court Rule 37(2) Needed Urgently!!!**

You may not recall the attached letter of June 18, 2010 which was sent to you and 20 of your colleagues. It requested your assistance in obtaining *Amicus Curiae* (“Friend of the Court”) Briefs in each of:

- *Karls v. The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., et. al.* (U.S. Supreme Court Docket No. 09-1527) before the Court’s consideration of a Petition for Certiorari (request to accept an appeal) pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 37(2);
- *Karls v. Wachovia Trust Co. of Cal., et. al.* (Cal. Appeal No. 126669) and *Karls v. Wells Fargo & Co.* (Cal. Appeal No. 126671) which were combined and were pending before the California Court of Appeal;
- *Karls v. The Bank of New York, et. al.* (Cal. Appeal No. 127444) which was also pending before the California Court of Appeal.

**NB: Additional background is provided in the enclosed letter to 51 inner-city clergy from Los Angeles, San Francisco and Oakland, CA.**

Unfortunately, no *Amicus Curiae* Briefs were filed. On September 27, 2010, the U.S. Supreme Court denied the Petition for Certiorari in *Karls v. The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., et. al.*

Nevertheless, in the California Court of Appeal, the *Karls v. Goldman Sachs* opinions could not be cited or considered under California law because of the “due process” and “equal protection” violations by the U.S. District Court and the Ninth Circuit (as more fully described in the two-page 9/2/2010 letter attached to the enclosed letter to 43 news-media superstars).

In oral argument in the California Court of Appeal, opposing counsel admitted that the state trial court (as well as the federal courts which were involved in *Karls v. Goldman Sachs* and which were supposed to be following state law) were wrong. Nevertheless, the California Court of Appeal in a decision that followed the infamous practice of a court’s specifying that its decision cannot be published or cited because it is contrary to well-settled law affirmed the state trial court’s decision even though it was diametrically opposed to one of the Court of Appeal’s own recent decisions (which was held inapplicable with no explanation) and recent decisions of two other California Courts of Appeal (which were disingenuously held to provide the opposite of what they in fact had provided).

The California Supreme Court refused to accept an appeal.

On June 14, 2011, a Petition for Certiorari will be filed with the U.S. Supreme Court in *Karls v. The Bank of New York, et. al.* that the decisions of the California state courts violated the U.S. Constitutional requirements of due process and equal protection.

Accordingly, you are again urged to represent the interests of your constituents by joining with your colleagues in ensuring that *Amicus Curiae* briefs are filed at least by:

- The U.S. Justice Department
- The State of California
- Each of the Cities of Los Angeles, San Francisco and Oakland

U.S. Supreme Court *Amicus Curiae* Briefs, to be effective, must be filed before the Supreme Court decides whether to grant the Petition for Certiorari (accept the appeal). Per U.S. Supreme Court Rule 37(2), an *Amicus* Brief filed before consideration of the Petition for Certiorari must be accompanied by a Motion For Leave To File if consent is not obtained from Defense Counsel - Peter Obstler, Esq., Bingham McCutchen LLP, Three Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111 (Direct = 415-393-2578).

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

John S. Karls  
JD, Harvard Law School, 1967  
Who’s Who in American Law, 1988-2003  
Who’s Who in America, 1988-2003  
Who’s Who in the World, 1994-2003